

# Association of GWAS Top Genes With Late-Onset Alzheimer's Disease in Colombian Population

Diana Jennifer Moreno, PhD(c)<sup>1</sup>, Susana Ruiz, BS<sup>1</sup>,  
 Ángela Ríos, PhD<sup>2</sup>, Francisco Lopera, MD<sup>3</sup>, Henry Ostos, MD, MS<sup>4</sup>,  
 Marc Via, PhD<sup>5</sup>, and Gabriel Bedoya, MS<sup>1</sup>

American Journal of Alzheimer's  
 Disease & Other Dementias<sup>®</sup>  
 2017, Vol. 32(1) 27-35  
 © The Author(s) 2016  
 Reprints and permission:  
 sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav  
 DOI: 10.1177/1533317516679303  
 journals.sagepub.com/home/aja



## Abstract

**Objective:** The association of variants in *CLU*, *CRI*, *PICALM*, *BINI*, *ABCA7*, and *CD33* genes with late-onset Alzheimer's disease (LOAD) was evaluated and confirmed through genome-wide association study. However, it is unknown whether these associations can be replicated in admixed populations. **Methods:** The association of 14 single-nucleotide polymorphisms in those genes was evaluated in 280 LOAD cases and 357 controls from the Colombian population. **Results:** In a multivariate analysis using age, gender, *APOEε4* status, and admixture covariates, significant associations were obtained ( $P < .05$ ) for variants in *BINI* (rs744373, odds ratio [OR]: 1.42), *CLU* (rs11136000, OR: 0.66), *PICALM* (rs541458, OR: 0.69), *ABCA7* (rs3764650, OR: 1.7), and *CD33* (rs3865444, OR: 1.12). Likewise, a significant interaction effect was observed between *CLU* and *CRI* variants with *APOE*. **Conclusion:** This study replicated the associations previously reported in populations of European ancestry and shows that *APOE* variants have a regulatory role on the effect that variants in other loci have on LOAD, reflecting the importance of gene–gene interactions in the etiology of neurodegenerative diseases.

## Keywords

susceptibility to late-onset Alzheimer's disease, GWAS, polymorphisms, genetic interaction, *APOEε4*, genetic admixture

## Introduction

Currently, more than 35.6 million people worldwide have dementia and it is predicted that this figure will tend to double every 20 years.<sup>1</sup> Alzheimer's disease (AD) is the main form of dementia and it affects mainly elderly people; however, it is not a normal part of the aging process.

While autosomal dominant genetic mutations in amyloid beta precursor protein (*APP*), presenilin 1 (*PSEN1*), and presenilin 2 (*PSEN2*) genes are responsible for 1% to 5% cases of AD, characterized by an early-onset familial AD,<sup>2</sup> over 90% of cases involving the late-onset and sporadic forms are due to the presence of multiple genetic susceptibility variants, environmental factors, and complex interactions between them.<sup>3</sup> Nevertheless, estimates of heritability of up to 80% from twin studies highlight the importance of genetic factors in the development of AD.<sup>4,5</sup>

Several case–control studies and more than 40 genome-wide association studies (GWASs) (<http://www.genome.gov/gwastudies>) have been conducted to date in order to identify genetic susceptibility factors. So far, Allele  $\epsilon4$  of apolipoprotein E (*APOEε4*) is the genetic risk factor with the strongest effect with more reproducibility among associated variants, having a population attributable risk of 25.5%.<sup>6</sup> Other loci with modest

effect have been associated with AD in several studies<sup>6–11</sup> and collected in the AlzGene platform ([www.alzgene.org](http://www.alzgene.org)). The results obtained so far have shown new biological pathways involved in the development of AD such as lipid metabolism, inflammation, and cell membrane transport.<sup>12</sup> Although the relationship between these new genes and pathophysiological hypotheses for AD (amyloid cascade hypothesis and  $\tau$ ) was initially unclear, it has now been established that some genes may have a pleiotropic effect and might have a role on amyloid metabolism. For example, it has been detected that the possible involvement of *CLU* (clusterin or apolipoprotein J) in AD

<sup>1</sup> Grupo de Genética Molecular, Universidad de Antioquia, Medellín, Colombia

<sup>2</sup> Grupo de Neuropsicología, Universidad Surcolombiana, Neiva, Colombia

<sup>3</sup> Grupo de Neurociencias, Universidad de Antioquia, Medellín, Colombia

<sup>4</sup> Grupo de Medicina Genómica, Universidad Surcolombiana, Neiva, Colombia

<sup>5</sup> Psicología Clínica i Psicobiología and Institut de Neurociències, Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

## Corresponding Author:

Diana Jennifer Moreno, PhD(c), Grupo de Genética Molecular, Universidad de Antioquia, Calle 62 No 52-59, Sede de Investigación Universitaria (SIU), Lab 430, Medellín, Colombia.  
 Email: [dianamoga@gmail.com](mailto:dianamoga@gmail.com)

pathology shows a strong analogy with apolipoprotein E (*APOE*). *CLU* directly interacts with amyloid- $\beta$  ( $A\beta$ ) and crosses the blood-brain barrier, helping  $A\beta$  elimination,<sup>13</sup> and like *APOE*, it acts as a chaperone protein preventing aggregation.<sup>14</sup> Moreover, *CLU* has an established role as an inhibitor of cytolysis by complement.<sup>15</sup>

Most of these studies have been conducted in populations of European origin, so care must be taken when extrapolating the findings from 1 population to another, as different demographic processes produce differences in the frequencies of the potential risk alleles among populations.<sup>16</sup> Colombian and Latin American populations in general exhibit admixture of European, Native American, and African ancestry as a consequence of the population dynamics that started from the times of European colonization. This is how the admixture of Latin American populations can be biethnic or triethnic, considering the degree of contribution of European, Native American, and African ancestral populations.<sup>17-19</sup>

In the present study, we determined the genetic susceptibility of the Colombian population to the late-onset AD (LOAD), through an evaluation of variants in genes previously reported in GWAS taking into account the effect of its ancestral composition.

## Methods

### Study Population

Participants were sampled in different facilities in 2 cities (Neiva and Medellín) between the years 2010 and 2014. In Neiva, they were referred by neurologists from the Hospital Universitario de Neiva and the group of Neuropsychology of the Universidad Surcolombiana. In Medellín, participants were referred by the group of Neuroscience of the Universidad de Antioquia.

Potential participants were assessed by an interdisciplinary group, which included neurological, neuropsychological, and psychiatric evaluation. The diagnosis of possible AD was made according to the international diagnostic criteria *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (Fourth Edition).<sup>20</sup> Similarly, the age-matched control group was defined. Controls were collected from a collaborative group in each region and were confirmed as healthy by medical examination and neuropsychological assessment by expert neurologists and neuropsychologists.

After assessment of the participants and their medical history, all individuals over the age of 60, with no psychiatric history (eg, bipolar affective disorder, schizophrenia) or any other disease that could have influenced the results of the cognitive tests (eg, Parkinson's disease, multiple sclerosis, epilepsy, meningitis), were included. Patients (cases) aged between 60 and 65 were defined as sporadic, given that none of their first-degree relatives had a family history of dementia. Given the fact that there is a group in Medellín (Antioquia) that have E280A mutation in the *PSEN1* gene, all participants from Antioquia were evaluated for the presence of the mutation.

However, due to limitations of the study design, other mutations related to EOAD were not taken into account.

The sampled population was fully informed about the study and agreed to join the research after signing the informed consent (for patients, informed consent was signed by relatives or by a legal representative responsible), which was previously approved by the ethics committees of the respective centers (Universidad Surcolombiana and Universidad de Antioquia), in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki guidelines.

### Genotyping

Peripheral blood samples were collected in two 4-mL EDTA tubes for DNA extraction from leukocytes using a phenol-chloroform method previously described.<sup>21</sup> Fourteen single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) previously reported in GWAS in the *CRI* (rs4844610), *BINI* (rs7561528, rs744373), *CLU* (rs11136000, rs1532278, rs9314349), *PICALM* (rs677909, rs541458, rs3851179), *ABCA7* (rs3752229, rs3764650, rs3752246), and *CD33* (rs3826656, rs3865444) genes were selected. This study also included 2 *APOE* gene variants (rs429358 and rs7412).

Genotyping of SNPs was performed by polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP). Products generated were visualized through electrophoresis on agarose gels stained with ethidium bromide (0.5  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ ) using a suitable molecular weight marker for the expected sizes. For variants in *APOE*, visualization of restriction products was performed by vertical electrophoresis in polyacrylamide gels postcleavage with *HhaI*, as described in a previous protocol.<sup>22</sup> Controls of each genotype were used in all runs.

Moreover, given the genetic background of the Colombian population, the proportion of European, Native American, and African ancestry was estimated using a panel of 30 ancestry informative markers (AIMs). These markers were chosen from panels for Latino populations reported by Parra et al,<sup>23</sup> Shriver et al,<sup>24</sup> and Molokhia et al.<sup>25</sup> The AIMs used have high discrimination power ( $\delta > 45\%$ ) between European, African, and Native American ancestries. Genotyping of the 30 AIMs was performed by PCR-RFLP or PCR and capillary electrophoresis on an ABI-PRISM 310 (Universidad de Antioquia) genetic analyzer (Perkin Elmer - Applied Biosystems), according to the type of marker SNP or indel. Details about the location and allele frequencies of the AIMs in ancestral and study populations (cases and controls) are shown in Supplementary Table 1.

All genotype readings both for GWAS-reported variants and AIMs were performed by 2 independent researchers and were done with no previous knowledge of the group to which the samples belonged. The genotyping process was repeated in those cases in which there was no clarity regarding the genotype or if there was no consensus in the reading.

### Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics were estimated, and comparisons between the case and control groups were established using a Student's

*t* test for continuous variables and a  $\chi^2$  test for categorical variables. Comparisons between groups for continuous variables that were not normally distributed were performed applying nonparametric tests. Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS 18) was used for these analyses.<sup>26</sup>

Allelic frequencies, genotypic frequencies, and the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) were calculated using PLINK v 1.07 (<http://pngu.mgh.harvard.edu/~purcell/plink/index.shtml>).<sup>27</sup> Only markers with a call rate over 90% and without significant deviations from HWE equilibrium after a Bonferroni correction were used for estimating ancestry and for association analyses.

The individual and average ancestry was estimated using ADMIXMAP v3.8 for Windows.<sup>28</sup> The ADMIXMAP employs a combination of conventional (frequentist) and Bayesian methods to model the proportion of individual admixture from a prior distribution of allele frequencies of AIMs in the ancestral populations. This is done in order to obtain a posterior distribution of the ancestry contribution to each of the samples. To estimate the ancestry of the sample under study, a model that involved a population derived from 3 ancestral populations (African, European, and Native American) and nondispersion of allele frequencies was implemented.<sup>29</sup>

Genetic associations between SNPs and LOAD were evaluated with SNPAssoc included in the statistical package R v 3.1.1 (<http://www.r-project.org/>).<sup>30</sup> The Akaike information criterion implemented in SNPAssoc was used to determine the best genetic model for each marker analyzed.<sup>31</sup> Genetic models were defined taking the minor (ie, less frequent) allele as the reference allele. For example, the dominant model compared carriers of at least 1 copy of the minor allele with noncarriers, the additive model compared the number of copies of the minor allele (0, 1, or 2), and so on. Multivariate logistic regressions models were built, including covariates such as age at the time of the evaluation, gender, presence of *APOE* $\epsilon$ 4 allele, and admixture (using 2 ancestral components to avoid colinearity). The robustness of the associations detected was checked applying a permutation test with 1000 permutations.

On the other hand, the interaction between the presence of *APOE* $\epsilon$ 4 and each variant was assessed using logistic regression including gender, age, and admixture as covariates. Likewise, a stratified analysis was completed for cases and controls in order to determine whether the presence of the *APOE* $\epsilon$ 4 allele significantly modified associations between the variants and LOAD.

## Results

### Characteristics of the Study Groups

We analyzed a total of 637 individuals over 60 years old, who were divided into groups of 280 cases and 357 controls. Socio-demographic characteristics of the study population and comparisons between groups for the evaluated variables are presented in Table 1. There were no differences by gender, but for the age and presence of *APOE* $\epsilon$ 4 variables, there were

**Table 1.** Characteristics by Case–Control Status in the Study Population.

Variable	LOAD Cases, n = 280	Controls, n = 357	P Value
Age, mean (SD)	75.5 (7.23)	71.04 (7.08)	<.001 <sup>a</sup>
Sex, n (%)			
Female	213 (76.1)	264 (73.9)	.540 <sup>b</sup>
Male	67 (23.9)	93 (26.1)	
<i>APOE</i> $\epsilon$ 4, n (%)			
$\epsilon$ 4 noncarriers	145 (53.9)	271 (77.2)	<.001 <sup>b</sup>
$\epsilon$ 4 carriers	124 (46.1)	80 (22.8)	
<i>APOE</i> genotypes, n (%)			
$\epsilon$ 2/ $\epsilon$ 4	4 (1.5)	6 (1.7)	<.001 <sup>b</sup>
$\epsilon$ 3/ $\epsilon$ 2	11 (4.1)	24 (6.8)	
$\epsilon$ 3/ $\epsilon$ 3	134 (49.8)	247 (70.4)	
$\epsilon$ 3/ $\epsilon$ 4	109 (40.5)	69 (19.7)	
$\epsilon$ 4/ $\epsilon$ 4	11 (4.1)	5 (1.4)	
Estimated ancestry, median (IQR), n (%)			
European	66 (60.1-73.5)	63.3 (59.4-68.6)	.001 <sup>c</sup>
Native	24 (14.2-31.6)	29.2 (21.2-32.8)	<.001 <sup>c</sup>
American			
African	7.7 (5.4-11.1)	6.8 (5.3-9.3)	.005 <sup>c</sup>

Abbreviations: IQR, interquartile range; LOAD, late-onset Alzheimer's disease; n, absolute number; %, proportion; SD, standard deviation.

<sup>a</sup>P value for Student's *t* test.

<sup>b</sup>P value for  $\chi^2$  test.

<sup>c</sup>P value for Mann-Whitney *U* test.

significant differences between case and control groups. The mean age was higher in cases compared to the control group ( $P < .001$ ). Consistent with previous reports, a higher frequency of the *APOE* $\epsilon$ 4 risk allele was found in cases (46.1%) compared to controls (22.8%). Also, when it comes to the distribution of *APOE* genotypes, a higher frequency of  $\epsilon$ 3/ $\epsilon$ 4 and  $\epsilon$ 4/ $\epsilon$ 4 genotypes was observed in cases compared to controls. The genotype  $\epsilon$ 3/ $\epsilon$ 3 was more common in controls (70.4%). The genotype  $\epsilon$ 2/ $\epsilon$ 2 was not present in the sample.

In regard to the estimation of ancestry, HWE was tested for each AIM in the global population and independently in case and control groups. After applying a Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons, a deviation from HWE was observed in the global population and in the case and control groups for the MID52 marker, so it was excluded from further analyses. Significant differences in ancestry proportions for the 3 ancestral components were found (Table 1). Native American ancestry was higher in controls than in cases ( $P < .001$ ), and European and African ancestries were higher in cases than in controls ( $P = .001$  and  $.005$ , respectively).

### Association Analysis

All SNPs were found to be in HWE in both cases and controls. Since age, presence of *APOE* $\epsilon$ 4 allele, and admixture proportions showed significant differences between cases and controls, association analyses were carried out using models of multivariate logistic regression in which the gender variable was also included (Table 2). After making adjustments for age,

**Table 2.** Genetic Associations With LOAD.

Chr.	Gene or Closest Gene	SNP	MA	MAF		Model <sup>a</sup>	OR (95% CI) <sup>b</sup>	P Value <sup>b</sup>
				Cases	Controls			
1	<i>CR1</i>	rs4844610	A	0.11	0.11	A	0.83 (0.55-1.26)	.407
2	<i>BINI</i>	rs7561528	A	0.26	0.26	A	1.07 (0.805-1.42)	.641
2	<i>BINI</i>	rs744373	G	0.31	0.27	A	1.42 (1.07-1.88)	.015
8	<i>CLU</i>	rs11136000	T	0.32	0.36	D	0.66 (0.46-0.95)	.028
8	<i>CLU</i>	rs1532278	T	0.31	0.36	A	0.77 (0.58-1.01)	.064
8	<i>CLU</i>	rs9314349	G	0.36	0.32	A	1.23 (0.94-1.61)	.119
11	<i>PICALM</i>	rs677909	C	0.27	0.30	A	0.70 (0.49-1.01)	.054
11	<i>PICALM</i>	rs541458	C	0.26	0.32	D	0.69 (0.49-0.99)	.045
11	<i>PICALM</i>	rs3851179	A	0.28	0.34	A	0.78 (0.59-1.04)	.093
19	<i>ABCA7</i>	rs3752229	G	0.04	0.03	A	1.52 (0.78-2.93)	.211
19	<i>ABCA7</i>	rs3764650	G	0.12	0.09	C	1.70 (1.06-2.74)	.011
19	<i>ABCA7</i>	rs3752246	G	0.15	0.14	A	1.20 (0.84-1.73)	.305
19	<i>CD33</i>	rs3826656	G	0.22	0.27	D	0.62 (0.43-0.89)	.010
19	<i>CD33</i>	rs3865444	A	0.45	0.45	A	1.12 (0.87-1.42)	.360

Abbreviations: A, additive; AIC, Akaike information criteria; C, codominant; Chr, chromosome; CI, confidence interval; D, dominant; LOAD, late-onset Alzheimer's disease; SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism; MA, minor allele; MAF, minor allele frequency; OR, odds ratio.

<sup>a</sup>Optimal genetic model was selected using AIC. Additive model is reported for nonsignificant SNPs.

<sup>b</sup>OR and P value adjusted for age, sex, *APOEε4* status, and genetic admixture.

**Table 3.** Interaction Analyses Between SNPs and *APOEε4* Status.

SNP (Gene)	Model	SNP × <i>APOE</i> Interaction <sup>a</sup>		<i>APOEε4</i> Noncarriers <sup>a</sup>		<i>APOEε4</i> Carriers <sup>a</sup>	
		OR (95% CI)	P Value	OR (95% CI)	P Value	OR (95% CI)	P Value
rs4844610 ( <i>CR1</i> )	D	2.51 (1.01-6.22)	.017	0.607 (0.35-1.04)	.070	1.91 (0.83-4.35)	.123
rs11136000 ( <i>CLU</i> )	A	1.87 (1.09-3.204)	.022	0.63 (0.45-0.88)	.007	1.04(0.63-1.72)	.859
	D	2.53 (1.24-5.14)	.015	0.506 (0.32-0.78)	.002	1.19 (0.62-2.26)	.591
rs1532278 ( <i>CLU</i> )	A	1.703 (0.97-2.96)	.060	0.66 (0.47-0.92)	.014	1.06 (0.63-1.78)	.822
	D	2.71 (1.32-5.56)	.008	0.53 (0.34-0.83)	.005	1.45 (0.75-2.8)	.265
rs9314349 ( <i>CLU</i> )	A	0.65 (0.38-1.105)	.112	1.49 (1.07-2.06)	.016	0.84 (0.52-1.36)	.489
	R	0.34 (0.12-0.99)	.013	2.3 (1.18-4.46)	.013	0.53 (0.19-1.44)	.216

Abbreviations: A, additive; CI, confidence interval; D, dominant; OR, odds ratio; R, recessive, SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism.

<sup>a</sup>OR and P value adjusted for age, sex, and genetic admixture.

gender, presence of *APOEε4* allele, and admixture covariates, variants in *BINI*, *CLU*, *PICALM*, *CD33*, and *ABCA7* genes were significantly associated with differential risk to have LOAD (Table 2). These results contrasted to a certain extent with exploratory analyses performed without adjusting for covariates, which showed that the presence of population structure caused by admixture events was confounding the association due to inherent differences between cases and controls that are not attributable to the state of the disease (data not shown). However, upon permutation testing, none of the detected genetic associations remained significant.

### Interaction With *APOEε4*

The presence of the *APOEε4* allele modified the associations of SNPs in the *CR1* and *CLU* genes with LOAD (Table 3). The 3 variants evaluated in the *CLU* gene (rs11136000, rs1532278, and rs9314349) as well as the rs4844610 variant in the

*CR1* gene showed statistically significant interactions with the presence of the *APOEε4* allele.

Stratifying the data by *APOEε4* status (carriers and noncarriers of the  $\epsilon 4$  allele) in cases and controls and adjusting for age, gender, and admixture covariates, significant associations were observed only for *CLU* variants in noncarriers. Among carriers of *APOEε4*, these variants did not show any effect on the risk of LOAD. Minor alleles of the rs11136000 and rs1532278 *CLU* variants were associated with protection under the additive and dominant models, while the rs9314349 variant in the same gene was associated with an increased risk in the additive and recessive models. After the permutation test, the associations found for the rs11136000 and rs1532278 SNPs in the group of noncarriers of *APOEε4* remained significant (additive model corrected,  $P = .04$  and dominant model corrected,  $P = .02$ ; dominant model corrected,  $P = .03$ , respectively). The rs4844610 variant in *CR1* did not show significant associations with LOAD in the stratified analyses, though this

SNP showed values close to significance ( $P = .071$ ) among noncarriers.

## Discussion

In the present study, the association between the status of LOAD and 14 gene variants in 6 genes involved in 3 biological pathways (inflammation, cholesterol metabolism, and transport at the level of membrane endocytosis) recently associated with the pathophysiology of the disease was evaluated in the Colombian population. In addition to the known confounding variables such as age, gender, and the presence of the *APOE* $\epsilon$ 4 allele, we took into consideration the genetic background of the admixed population in order to adjust the associations. The results obtained indicate that variants in genes acting on the 3 pathways are associated with susceptibility to the development of LOAD in the Colombian population.

Given the differences in the prevalence of AD, allelic frequencies, and the pattern of linkage disequilibrium (LD) between populations, and given that most genetic studies for AD have been carried out in populations of European descent, replication studies in populations with a different ethnic composition are necessary to determine the true effect size of a given locus in a specific population.<sup>32</sup> This is highly relevant when taking into consideration the context of the potential gene–gene and gene–environment interactions that could modify the risk of LOAD.

A significant association between the G allele of the *BINI* rs744373 variant and an increased risk of LOAD was observed, consistent with that reported in previous studies for Caucasian<sup>8,9,11,33</sup> and Japanese<sup>34</sup> populations. However, the effect size observed in our study may be somewhat inflated due to the sample size, compared to other studies. In contrast, no association with this variant was found in Korean<sup>34,35</sup> and Han<sup>36</sup> populations. Other variants in *BINI* have shown significant association in Caucasians,<sup>7,10,37</sup> Hispanics from the Caribbean,<sup>38</sup> and the Africans.<sup>39</sup> The bridging integrator 1 (BIN1) protein has been shown to be involved in receptor-mediated endocytosis and endocytic recycling, which could be affecting APP traffic.<sup>40,41</sup> It has also been reported a possible interaction between BIN1 and  $\tau$  in which the major BIN1 isoform expressed in neurons was associated with modified species of  $\tau$  found in AD.<sup>42</sup>

Phosphatidylinositol Binding Clathrin Assembly Protein (PICALM) is also involved in the mechanism of receptor-mediated endocytosis, and it has been related to the right synaptic transmission<sup>43</sup> and to the trafficking and processing of APP.<sup>44</sup> In our study, of the 3 PICALM variants evaluated, only the C allele of rs541458 was associated with a protective effect against AD, in line with the previous reports of the Caucasians.<sup>45,46</sup> Associations between LOAD and other variants in this gene have been reported in Caucasian,<sup>9,10,47,48</sup> Asian,<sup>34,35</sup> and African<sup>49</sup> populations.

The T allele of rs11136000 in *CLU* was significantly associated with a reduction of LOAD risk under the dominant model. Two large GWASs in 2009 first reported an association of this variant, with an average odds ratio (OR) of 0.84 for the

T allele in populations of Belgium, Finland, Italy, and Spain,<sup>6,8</sup> which is consistent with that reported in this study. Subsequently, other studies reported the same association in Caucasian<sup>9,45-47</sup> and Chinese<sup>50</sup> populations. Other *CLU* variants have also been associated with AD.<sup>7,10,38</sup> The *CLU* is a multifunctional protein that plays an important role in the metabolism and elimination of A $\beta$ -peptide similar to that of *APOE*.<sup>13</sup> It has been determined that the T allele in the rs11136000 SNP had functional relevance being associated with an increased expression of the *CLU1* isoform of the gene.<sup>51</sup>

Under a codominant model, the heterozygous genotype T/G of the ATP binding cassette subfamily A member 7 (*ABCA7*) rs3764650 variant was associated with an increased risk. This same effect was detected for the G allele in previous GWAS in Caucasian<sup>52</sup> and African American<sup>49</sup> populations. In contrast, this variant has shown contradictory results and indeed to have an opposite effect in the Han population.<sup>36,53-55</sup> In the present study, the heterozygous genotype association may be the result of the low frequency of the G/G genotype in our sample. Discrepancies across populations in the risk alleles and the models that explain the associations found may be due to the differences in the frequency of the alleles that are in LD with the causal variants. The frequency of rs3764650-G allele is 0.33 in Asians (0.27 Han population), 0.28 in Africans, 0.11 in Europeans, and 0.08 in Native Americans. In Colombia, the frequency reported by the 1000 Genomes Project is 0.09, the same found in the present study in controls. Other variants in *ABCA7* were significantly associated with AD in Caucasians.<sup>7,37</sup> According to recent studies, the mechanism by which *ABCA7* is associated with LOAD is the elimination of A $\beta$ , since it has been shown that expression of *ABCA7* increases microglial phagocytosis of A $\beta$ , which is consistent with the increased accumulation of A $\beta$  in *ABCA7*-deficient mice.<sup>56</sup> In turn, the G allele associated with an increased AD risk was significantly associated with a decreased expression of *ABCA7*.<sup>57</sup>

The G allele of the rs3826656 variant, located near the 5' region of the *CD33* gene, was associated with protection under the dominant model. Bertram et al. (2008) first reported the G allele in this variant as associated with AD under a dominant model in the same way than the present study.<sup>58</sup> However, the *CD33* variant commonly associated with AD protection was rs3865444,<sup>7,10,11,36,59</sup> for which no significant association was found in this work. A study undertaken in Han population replicated the association between rs3826656 and LOAD in carriers of the *APOE* $\epsilon$ 4 allele. Nevertheless, the allele associated with protection was the A allele and the recessive genotype A/A, opposite to our findings.<sup>60</sup> It is noteworthy that allele frequencies for this variant differ greatly among populations. While in Europeans the A allele has a frequency of 0.78, in the Han population the frequency is 0.32. The Colombian population has a frequency of 0.76, as described in the 1000 Genomes Project, similar to that in Europeans and consistent with our study (0.74 in controls). Additionally, the association with the opposite allele on the same marker may be due to the differences in the LD structure, so that the LD between the actual causal variant and the evaluated variant (rs3826656) may differ

among populations, or as a consequence of the observed interaction with the *APOE* $\epsilon$ 4 allele.<sup>61</sup> Recently, 2 studies that analyzed the contribution of this gene to the pathology of AD were published. Gričiuć et al showed that the minor allele of rs3865444 (T), which confers protection against AD, was associated with decreased levels of CD33 and decreased levels of insoluble A $\beta$ -42 in the brain. Thus, CD33 could be inhibiting phagocytosis of A $\beta$ -42 by microglia.<sup>62</sup> The second study found an association of the rs3865444 risk allele (C) with an increased expression of CD33 on the surface of monocytes, increased CD33-immunoreactive microglia, a decrease in the internalization of A $\beta$ -42, and an accumulation of amyloid plaques, confirming previous findings.<sup>63</sup>

In addition to the associations found, the *APOE* $\epsilon$ 4 allele was confirmed as a genetic risk factor with a remarkable effect on the development of AD consistent with previous reports in Colombian<sup>64,65</sup> and other Latino populations.<sup>66,67</sup> In the present study,  $\epsilon$ 4 allele frequency was 0.608 in cases compared to 0.392 in controls, conferring a risk 3 times higher compared to the other alleles (OR = 3.11, 95% confidence interval = 2.15-4.51,  $P < .0001$ ), adjusted for age, gender, and admixture.

Moreover, the 3 *CLU* variants evaluated (rs11136000, rs1532278, and rs9314349) and a *CR1* variant (rs4844610) showed significant interactions with the *APOE* $\epsilon$ 4 allele. When performing the stratified analysis, the association with the 3 *CLU* variants was detected only in the group of noncarriers of *APOE* $\epsilon$ 4. Although there was no significant association for *CR1*, a trend toward association was seen in the group of noncarriers of *APOE* $\epsilon$ 4. Lambert et al reported a significant interaction between 3 *CLU* variants (rs11136000, rs2279590, and rs9331888) and 2 *CR1* variants (rs6656401 and rs3818361) with *APOE* $\epsilon$ 4. Another recently published study confirmed the *CLU* and *CR1* interaction with *APOE*, finding such association in a stratified analysis.<sup>68</sup> At the biological level, there is evidence of the interaction between *CLU* and *APOE*, which can be given by their involvement in cholesterol metabolism and/or their role in eliminating the A $\beta$  peptide. Moreover, it has been noted that reduced levels of *CLU* are correlated with the number of  $\epsilon$ 4 alleles, suggesting a compensatory induction of *CLU* in the brain of individuals with AD, with  $\epsilon$ 4 allele of *APOE* presenting low brain levels of APOE.<sup>69</sup> Regarding *CR1*, it has been found that the interaction *CR1*  $\times$  *APOE* modulates the accumulation of A $\beta$  even in older individuals who are cognitively normal.<sup>70</sup> Likewise, an investigation reported an interaction between *CR1* (rs4844609) and *APOE* $\epsilon$ 4, stimulating an episodic memory decline and mediating an increase in A $\beta$  pathology.<sup>71</sup> This suggests that elimination of A $\beta$  is the mechanism in which *CR1*, *CLU*, and *APOE* converge, causing an effect on AD pathology; however, the biology of these interactions remains to be determined.

Here, we confirm the modulation of the genetic effect of susceptibility genes for AD (such as *CLU* and *CR1*) by the presence of the *APOE* $\epsilon$ 4 allele, which highlights the importance of gene-gene interactions on the genetic regulation of neurodegenerative diseases and suggests that they may be key to identify the “missing heritability” of complex diseases.

In the present study, we observed population stratification in cases and controls showing significant differences in the proportions of genetic ancestry. This finding opens the way for a new study about the ancestry effect on AD in admixed populations, and the implementation of mapping by admixture LD would be a great contribution to evaluate this issue. Previous studies have shown that associations between genetic ancestry and risk of complex traits in recently admixed populations (such as the Colombian population) are due, at least in part, to genetic differences between populations of different continental origin.<sup>72</sup>

Stratification was corrected in the association analyses calculating the proportions of individual admixture obtained using 29 AIMs. The power of discrimination between populations of this panel of markers is very robust, and the results of the ancestry estimation are consistent with previous reports for our population using different panels of markers.<sup>18,73-75</sup>

Furthermore, this research has an important limitation with regard to the sample size. It is clear that small sample sizes reduce the power to detect significant associations with less frequent variants or with variants with a small effect on the presentation of LOAD, and they also increase the risk of reporting false positives. However, given the fact that the analyzed variants have been previously reported in GWAS, this risk is greatly reduced and the reliability of the results increases as the direction of the association and the magnitude of the effect were similar to the first studies. Despite the limited sample size used in our study, it had a statistical power of 71% to identify variants conferring a risk (OR) of  $\geq 1.5$  (or  $\leq 0.67$ ), for a significance level of .05, with an allele frequency of 0.2, for markers in complete LD with the causal variant (calculated using Genetic Power Calculator, <http://pngu.mgh.harvard.edu/~purcell/gpc/>).<sup>76</sup>

Although more than a hundred years have passed since the first report of AD and even though there is still no effective treatment, great breakthroughs have been made in understanding its pathophysiology. Such breakthroughs will allow the picture to be extended in terms of the therapeutic approach and will even allow the treatment of biological pathways common to different neurodegenerative diseases.<sup>77</sup> However, the genetic context of the study population in terms of the study of a complex disease like LOAD and differences in individual genetic risk should be carefully considered.

### Acknowledgments

The authors are very grateful to the volunteers who participated in this project. Special thanks to Hospital Universitario de Neiva, Grupo de Neuropsicología de la Universidad Surcolombiana, and Grupo de Neurociencias de la Universidad de Antioquia for allowing recruitment of participants and clinical information access.

### Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

## Funding

The authors disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: D.J.M. was supported by Colciencias National Doctoral scholarships. This research was supported by a grant from Colciencias, Departamento Administrativo de Ciencia, Tecnología e Innovación, República de Colombia (Grant 1124-493-26212).

## Supplemental Material

The online supplemental table is available at <http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/suppl/10.1177/1533317516679303>.

## References

- International WHO and Alzheimer's Disease International. *Dementia: A Public Health Priority*; 2012. ISBN 978 92 4 156445 8.
- Sherrington R. Three genes for Alzheimer's disease. *Nature*. 1995;375:754-760.
- Lambert JC, Amouyel P. Genetic heterogeneity of Alzheimer's disease: complexity and advances. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2007;32(suppl 1):S62-S70.
- Gatz M, Reynolds CA, Fratiglioni L, et al. Role of genes and environments for explaining Alzheimer disease. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2006;63(2):168-174.
- Wilson RS, Barral S, Lee JH, et al. Heritability of different forms of memory in the Late Onset Alzheimer's Disease Family Study. *J Alzheimers Dis*. 2011;23(2):249-255.
- Lambert JC, Heath S, Even G, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies variants at CLU and CR1 associated with Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Genet*. 2009;41(10):1094-1099.
- Lambert JC, Ibrahim-Verbaas CA, Harold D, et al; European Alzheimer's Disease Initiative Investigators. Meta-analysis of 74,046 individuals identifies 11 new susceptibility loci for Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Genet*. 2013;45(12):1452-1458.
- Harold D, Abraham R, Hollingworth P, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies variants at CLU and PICALM associated with Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Genet*. 2009;41(10):1088-1093.
- Seshadri S, Fitzpatrick AL, Ikram MA, et al; CHARGE Consortium; GERAD1 Consortium; EAD11 Consortium. Genome-wide analysis of genetic loci associated with Alzheimer disease. *JAMA*. 2010;303(18):1832-1840.
- Naj AC, Jun G, Beecham GW, et al. Common variants at MS4A4/MS4A6E, CD2AP, CD33 and EPHA1 are associated with late-onset Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Genet*. 2011;43(5):436-441.
- Hollingworth P, Harold D, Sims R, et al. Common variants at ABCA7, MS4A6A/MS4A4E, EPHA1, CD33 and CD2AP are associated with Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Genet*. 2011;43(5):429-435.
- Morgan K. The three new pathways leading to Alzheimer's disease. *Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol*. 2011;37(4):353-357.
- Bell RD, Sagare AP, Friedman AE, et al. Transport pathways for clearance of human Alzheimer's amyloid  $\beta$ -peptide and apolipoproteins E and J in the mouse central nervous system. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab*. 2007;27(5):909-918.
- Rohne P, Prochnow H, Wolf S, Renner B, Koch-Brandt C. The chaperone activity of clusterin is dependent on glycosylation and redox environment. *Cell Physiol Biochem*. 2014;34(5):1626-1639.
- Ghiso J, Matsubara E, Koudinov A, et al. The cerebrospinal-fluid soluble form of Alzheimer's amyloid  $\beta$  is complexed to SP-40, 40 (apolipoprotein J), an inhibitor of the complement membrane-attack complex. *Biochem J*. 1993;293(1):27-30.
- Adeyemo A, Rotimi C. Genetic variants associated with complex human diseases show wide variation across multiple populations. *Public Health Genomics*. 2009;13(2):72-79.
- Salzano FM, Bortolini MC. *The Evolution and Genetics of Latin American Populations*. Vol 28. Cambridge University Press; 2002.
- Wang S, Ray N, Rojas W, et al. Geographic patterns of genome admixture in Latin American Mestizos. *PLoS Genet*. 2008;4(3):e1000037.
- Bryc K, Velez C, Karafet T, et al. Genome-wide patterns of population structure and admixture among Hispanic/Latino populations. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2010;107(suppl 2):8954-8961.
- American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders DSM-IV-TR Fourth Edition*; 2000.
- Sambrook J, Fritsch EF, Maniatis T. *Molecular Cloning*. Vol 2. New York, NY: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press; 1989.
- Hixson JE, Vernier DT. Restriction isotyping of human apolipoprotein E by gene amplification and cleavage with *HhaI*. *J Lipid Res*. 1990;31(3):545-548.
- Parra EJ, Marcini A, Akey J, et al. Estimating African American admixture proportions by use of population-specific alleles. *Am J Hum Genet*. 1998;63(6):1839-1851.
- Shriver MD, Parra EJ, Dios S, et al. Skin pigmentation, biogeographical ancestry and admixture mapping. *Hum Genet*. 2003;112(4):387-399.
- Molokhia M, Hoggart C, Patrick A, et al. Relation of risk of systemic lupus erythematosus to west African admixture in a Caribbean population. *Hume Genet*. 2003;112(3):310-318.
- Pardo A, Ruiz MÁ. *SPSS 11 Guía para el Análisis De Datos*. Madrid: McGraw-Hill; 2002.
- Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, et al. PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2007;81(3):559-575.
- McKeigue P, Carpenter J, Parra E, Shriver M. Estimation of admixture and detection of linkage in admixed populations by a Bayesian approach: application to African-American populations. *Ann Hum Genet*. 2000;64(pt 2):171-186.
- Hoggart CJ, Shriver MD, Kittles RA, Clayton DG, McKeigue PM. Design and analysis of admixture mapping studies. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2004;74(5):965-978.
- González JR, Armengol L, Solé X, et al. SNPAssoc: an R package to perform whole genome association studies. *Bioinformatics*. 2007;23(5):654-655.
- Posada D, Buckley TR. Model selection and model averaging in phylogenetics: advantages of akaike information criterion and Bayesian approaches over likelihood ratio tests. *Syst Biol*. 2004;53(5):793-808.
- Bustamante CD, Francisco M, Burchard EG. Genomics for the world. *Nature*. 2011;475(7355):163-165.

33. Carrasquillo MM, Belbin O, Hunter TA, et al. Replication of BIN1 association with Alzheimer's disease and evaluation of genetic interactions. *J Alzheimers Dis*. 2011;24(4):751-758.
34. Miyashita A, Koike A, Jun G, et al. SORL1 is genetically associated with late-onset Alzheimer's disease in Japanese, Koreans and Caucasians. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(4):e58618.
35. Chung SJ, Lee JH, Kim SY, et al. Association of GWAS top hits with late-onset Alzheimer disease in Korean population. *Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord*. 2013;27(3):250-257.
36. Tan L, Yu JT, Zhang W, et al. Association of GWAS-linked loci with late-onset Alzheimer's disease in a northern Han Chinese population. *Alzheimers Dement*. 2013;9(5):546-553.
37. Kamboh M, Demirci F, Wang X, et al; Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative. Genome-wide association study of Alzheimer's disease. *Translat Psychiatry*. 2012;2(5):e117.
38. Lee JH, Cheng R, Barral S, et al. Identification of novel loci for Alzheimer disease and replication of CLU, PICALM, and BIN1 in Caribbean Hispanic individuals. *Arch Neurol*. 2011;68(3):320-328.
39. Reitz C, Jun G, Naj A, et al; Alzheimer Disease Genetics Consortium. Variants in the ATP-binding cassette transporter (ABCA7), apolipoprotein E  $\epsilon$ 4, and the risk of late-onset Alzheimer disease in African Americans. *JAMA*. 2013;309(14):1483-1492.
40. Pant S, Sharma M, Patel K, Caplan S, Carr CM, Grant BD. AMPH-1/Amphiphysin/Bin1 functions with RME-1/Ehd1 in endocytic recycling. *Nat Cell Biol*. 2009;11(12):1399-1410.
41. Ren G, Vajjhala P, Lee JS, Winsor B, Munn AL. The BAR domain proteins: molding membranes in fission, fusion, and phagy. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev*. 2006;70(1):37-120.
42. Zhou Y, Hayashi I, Wong J, Tugusheva K, Renger JJ, Zerbinatti C. Intracellular clusterin interacts with brain isoforms of the bridging integrator 1 and with the microtubule-associated protein tau in Alzheimer's disease. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(7):e103187.
43. Harel A, Wu F, Mattson MP, Morris CM, Yao PJ. Evidence for CALM in directing VAMP2 trafficking. *Traffic*. 2008;9(3):417-429.
44. Tian Y, Chang JC, Greengard P, Flajolet M. The convergence of endosomal and autophagosomal pathways: implications for APP-CTF degradation. *Autophagy*. 2014;10(4):694-696.
45. Corneveaux JJ, Myers AJ, Allen AN, et al. Association of CR1, CLU and PICALM with Alzheimer's disease in a cohort of clinically characterized and neuropathologically verified individuals. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2010;19(16):3295-3301.
46. Jun G, Naj AC, Beecham GW, et al. Meta-analysis confirms CR1, CLU, and PICALM as Alzheimer disease risk loci and reveals interactions with APOE genotypes. *Arch Neurol*. 2010;67(12):1473-1484.
47. Carrasquillo MM, Belbin O, Hunter TA, et al. Replication of CLU, CR1, and PICALM associations with Alzheimer disease. *Arch Neurol*. 2010;67(8):961-964.
48. Kamboh MI, Barmada MM, Demirci FY, et al; Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative. Genome-wide association analysis of age-at-onset in Alzheimer's disease. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2012;17(12):1340-1346.
49. Logue MW, Schu M, Vardarajan BN, et al; Multi-Institutional Research on Alzheimer Genetic Epidemiology (MIRAGE) Study Group. A comprehensive genetic association study of Alzheimer disease in African Americans. *Arch Neurol*. 2011;68(12):1569-1579.
50. Chen LH, Kao PYP, Fan YH, et al. Polymorphisms of CR1, CLU and PICALM confer susceptibility of Alzheimer's disease in a southern Chinese population. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2012;33(1):210.e211-210.e217.
51. Ling IF, Bhongsatiern J, Simpson JF, Fardo DW, Estus S. Genetics of clusterin isoform expression and Alzheimer's disease risk. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(4):e33923.
52. Hollingworth P, Sweet R, Sims R, et al; National Institute on Aging Late-Onset Alzheimer's Disease Family Study Group. Genome-wide association study of Alzheimer's disease with psychotic symptoms. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2012;17(12):1316-1327.
53. Liu LH, Xu J, Deng YL, et al. A complex association of ABCA7 genotypes with sporadic Alzheimer disease in Chinese Han population. *Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord*. 2014;28(2):141-144.
54. Liao YC, Lee WJ, Hwang JP, et al. ABCA7 gene and the risk of Alzheimer's disease in Han Chinese in Taiwan. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2014;35(10):2423.e2427-2423.e2413.
55. Yang P, Sun YM, Liu ZJ, et al. Association study of ABCA7 and NPC1 polymorphisms with Alzheimer's disease in Chinese Han ethnic population. *Psychiatr Genet*. 2013;23(6):268.
56. Kim WS, Li H, Ruberu K, et al. Deletion of Abca7 increases cerebral amyloid- $\beta$  accumulation in the J20 mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *J Neurosci*. 2013;33(10):4387-4394.
57. Vasquez JB, Fardo DW, Estus S. ABCA7 expression is associated with Alzheimer's disease polymorphism and disease status. *Neurosci Lett*. 2013;556:58-62.
58. Bertram L, Lange C, Mullin K, et al. Genome-wide association analysis reveals putative Alzheimer's disease susceptibility loci in addition to APOE. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2008;83(5):623-632.
59. Omoumi A, Fok A, Greenwood T, Sadovnick AD, Feldman HH, Hsiung GYR. Evaluation of late-onset Alzheimer disease genetic susceptibility risks in a Canadian population. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2014;35(4):936.e935-936.e912.
60. Yuan Q, Chu C, Jia J. Association studies of 19 candidate SNPs with sporadic Alzheimer's disease in the North Chinese Han population. *Neurol Sci*. 2012;33(5):1021-1028.
61. Lin PI, Vance JM, Pericak-Vance MA, Martin ER. No gene is an island: the flip-flop phenomenon. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2007;80(3):531-538.
62. Griciuc A, Serrano-Pozo A, Parrado AR, et al. Alzheimer's disease risk gene CD33 inhibits microglial uptake of amyloid beta. *Neuron*. 2013;78(4):631-643.
63. Bradshaw EM, Chibnik LB, Keenan BT, et al; Alzheimer Disease Neuroimaging Initiative. CD33 Alzheimer's disease locus: altered monocyte function and amyloid biology. *Nat Neurosci*. 2013;16(7):848-850.
64. Jacquier M, Arango D, Villareal E, et al. APOE epsilon4 and Alzheimer's disease: positive association in a Colombian clinical series and review of the Latin-American studies. *Arq Neuropsiquiatr*. 2001;59(1):11-17.
65. Arboleda GH, Yunis JJ, Pardo R, et al. Apolipoprotein E genotyping in a sample of Colombian patients with Alzheimer's disease. *Neurosci Lett*. 2001;305(2):135-138.



66. Molero AE, Pino-Ramírez G, Maestre GE. Modulation by age and gender of risk for Alzheimer's disease and vascular dementia associated with the apolipoprotein E-ε4 allele in Latin Americans: findings from the Maracaibo Aging Study. *Neurosci Lett*. 2001; 307(1):5-8.
67. Teruel BM, Rodríguez JLJ, McKeigue P, et al. Interactions between genetic admixture, ethnic identity, APOE genotype and dementia prevalence in an admixed Cuban sample; a cross-sectional population survey and nested case-control study. *BMC Med Genet*. 2011;12(1):1.
68. Jun G, Ibrahim-Verbaas C, Vronskaya M, et al. A novel Alzheimer disease locus located near the gene encoding tau protein. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2016;21(1):108-117.
69. Bertrand P, Poirier J, Oda T, Finch CE, Pasinetti GM. Association of apolipoprotein E genotype with brain levels of apolipoprotein E and apolipoprotein J (clusterin) in Alzheimer disease. *Brain res Mol Brain Res*. 1995;33(1):174-178.
70. Thambisetty M, An Y, Nalls M, et al; Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging and the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative. Effect of complement CR1 on brain amyloid burden during aging and its modification by APOE genotype. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2013;73(5):422-428.
71. Keenan BT, Shulman JM, Chibnik LB, et al; Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative. A coding variant in CR1 interacts with APOE-ε4 to influence cognitive decline. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2012; 21(10):2377-2388.
72. Fejerman L, Chen GK, Eng C, et al; LACE Consortium. Admixture mapping identifies a locus on 6q25 associated with breast cancer risk in US Latinas. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2012;21(8): 1907-1917.
73. Galanter JM, Fernandez-Lopez JC, Gignoux CR, et al; LACE Consortium. Development of a panel of genome-wide ancestry informative markers to study admixture throughout the Americas. *PLoS Genet*. 2012;8(3):e1002554.
74. Rojas W, Parra MV, Campo O, et al. Genetic make up and structure of Colombian populations by means of uniparental and biparental DNA markers. *Am J Phys Anthropol*. 2010;143(1): 13-20.
75. Price AL, Patterson N, Yu F, et al. A genomewide admixture map for Latino populations. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2007;80(6): 1024-1036.
76. Purcell S, Cherny SS, Sham PC. Genetic Power Calculator: design of linkage and association genetic mapping studies of complex traits. *Bioinformatics*. 2003;19(1):149-150.
77. Ramanan VK, Saykin AJ. Pathways to neurodegeneration: mechanistic insights from GWAS in Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson's disease, and related disorders. *Am J Neurodegener Dis*. 2013;2(3):145-175.