Analysis of $SU(3)_c \otimes SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_X$ local gauge theory

William A. Ponce,
Depto. de Física, Universidad de Antioquia,
A.A. 1226, Medellín, Colombia.
Juán B. Flórez,
Depto. de Física, Universidad de Nariño
Pasto, Colombia
and
Luis A. Sánchez,
Depto. de Física, Universidad Nacional de Colombia
A.A. 3840, Medellín, Colombia

Six different models, straightforward extensions of the standard model to $SU(3)_c \otimes SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_X$, which do not contain particles with exotic electric charges are described. Two of the models are one family and four are three family models. In two of the three family models one of the families transforms different from the others, and in the other two all the three families are different. The extended models insure agreement with low energy phenomenology for particular values of the new parameters.

I. INTRODUCTION

The remarkable experimental success of the standard model (SM) local gauge group $G_{SM} \equiv SU(3)_c \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ with the flavor sector $SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ hidden [1] and $SU(3)_c$ confined [2], lies in its accurate predictions at energies below a few hundreds GeV. However, the SM is not the only model for which this is true and many physicists believe that it does not represent the final theory, but serves merely as an effective theory, originating from a more fundamental one. So, extensions of the SM are always worth to be considered.

One can extend the SM either by adding new fermion fields (adding a right-handed neutrino field constitute its simplest extension), by augmenting the scalar sector to more than one Higgs representation, or by enlarging the local gauge group. In this last direction, $SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_X$ as a flavor group has been studied previously by many authors [3] who have explored many possible fermion and Higgs-boson representation assignments, either as identical replicas of one family structures as in the SM [4] or either as a multi-family structure [5,6] which points to a natural explanation of the total number of families in nature.

With regard to the different models in Ref. [4], most of them are plagued with physical inconsistencies such as gauge anomalies, right-handed currents at low energies, unwanted flavor changing neutral currents, violation of universality, etc.. The model in Refs. [5] for three families of quarks and leptons is consistent with the low energy phenomenology and it is anomaly free thanks to the introduction of quarks with exotic electric charges $-4/3$ and $5/3$. On the other hand, the model in Refs. [6], also for three families, is consistent with low energy phenomenology and does not include particles with exotic electric charges.

In this paper we present an exhaustive analysis of the local gauge group $SU(3)_c \otimes SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_X$. We find six models which are anomaly free, do not include fermions (quarks and leptons) with exotic electric charges, and are consistent with the low energy phenomenology. Two of the models are one family models (for each one of the three families), and are natural extensions of the SM (one of them is an E_6 subgroup) and the other four are models for three families of quarks and leptons; three of them, up to our knowledge, new in the literature. The models under consideration get their symmetries broken via the most economical set of Higgs fields. We analyze also the limit in which the neutral currents reproduce the SM phenomenology.

Our paper is organized in the following way: In section two we introduce the characteristics of the gauge group and present six different models, two are one family models and the other four are models for three families of quarks and leptons; in section three we describe the scalar sector needed to break the symmetry; in section four we analyze the gauge boson sector paying special attention to the two neutral currents and their mixings present in all the models, in section five we analyze the fermion masses for one particular model and in the last section we give our conclusions.

II. THE MODEL

We assume that the flavor group is $SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_X \supset SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ and that the left handed quarks (color triplets) and left-handed leptons (color singlets) transform under the fundamental representations of $SU(3)_L$ (the 3 and $\bar{3}$). Two classes of models will be discussed: one family models where the anomalies are cancelled in each family as in the SM, and family models where the anomalies are cancelled by an interplay between the families. As in the SM, $SU(3)_c$ is vectorlike.

All the models analyzed have the same gauge boson sector and may have the same scalar sector, but they differ in their fermion content.

A. One family models

The most general expression for the electric charge generator in $SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_X$ is a linear combination of the three diagonal generators of the gauge group

$$Q = aT_{3L} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} bT_{8L} + XI_3, \tag{1}$$

where $T_{iL} = \lambda_{iL}/2$; λ_{iL} being the Gell-Mann matrices for $SU(3)_L$, $I_3 = Dg(1,1,1)$ is the diagonal 3×3 unit matrix, and a and b are arbitrary parameters to be calculated ahead. Notice that we have absorbed an eventual coefficient for X in its definition.

Now, having in mind the canonical iso-doublets for $SU(2)_L$ in one family, we start by defining two $SU(3)_L$ triplets

$$\chi_L = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \\ q \end{pmatrix}_L ; \qquad \psi_L = \begin{pmatrix} e^- \\ \nu_e \\ l \end{pmatrix}_L$$

where q_L and l_L are $SU(2)_L$ singlet exotic quark and lepton fields respectively of electric charges to be fixed ahead. This structure implies that $a = 1$ in Eq.(1) and one gets a one-parameter set of models. Now if the $\{SU(3)_L,\ U(1)_X\}$ quantum numbers for χ_L and ψ_L are $\{3, X_\chi\}$ and $\{\bar{3}, X_\psi\}$ respectively, we have then the relationship:

$$X_\chi + X_\psi = Q_q + Q_l = -1/3, \tag{2}$$

where Q_q and Q_l are the electric charge values of the $SU(2)_L$ singlets q and l respectively.

Now in order to cancel the $[SU(3)_L]^3$ anomaly, two more $SU(3)_L$ lepton anti-triplets with quantum numbers $\{\bar{3}, X_i\}$ $i = 1, 2$ must be introduced (together with their corresponding right-handed charged components). Each one of those multiplets must include one $SU(2)_L$ doublet and one singlet of new leptons. The quarks fields u^c_L, d^c_L and q^c_L color anti-triplets and $SU(3)_L$ singlets, with $U(1)_X$ quantum numbers X_u, X_d and X_q respectively, must also be introduced in order to cancel the $[SU(3)_c]^3$ anomaly. Then the hypercharges X_α with $\alpha = \chi, \psi, 1, 2, u, d, q, ...$

are fixed using Eqs. (1), (2) and the anomaly constraint equations coming from the vertices $[SU(3)_c]^2U(1)_X$, $[SU(3)_L]^2U(1)_X$, $[grav]^2U(1)_X$ and $[U(1)_X]^3$ which are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
[SU(3)_c]^2U(1)_X &: 3X_\chi + X_u + X_d + X_q = 0 \\
[SU(3)_L]^2U(1)_X &: 3X_\chi + X_\psi + X_1 + X_2 = 0 \\
[grav]^2U(1)_X &: 9X_\chi + 3X_u + 3X_d + 3X_q + 3X_\psi + 3X_1 + 3X_2 + \sum_{singl} X_{ls} = 0 \\
[U(1)_X]^3 &: 9X_\chi^3 + 3X_u^3 + 3X_d^3 + 3X_q^3 + 3X_\psi^3 + 3X_1^3 + 3X_2^3 + \sum_{singl} X_{ls}^3 = 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where X_{ls} are the hypercharges of the right-handed charged lepton singlets needed in order to have a consistent field theory.

What we have so far is an infinite number of possible models each one characterized by the parameter b in Eq.(1); the value of b is the key factor in determining the electric charge of the extra particles in the several models to be presented. We are going to drastically limit this number of possible models by imposing the constraint of excluding models with particles with exotic electric charges; that is, we are going to allow only models with quarks of electric charges $\pm 2/3$ and $\pm 1/3$ and leptons of electric charges ± 1 and 0. We will see that this requirement render us with only two different models.

1. Model A

Let us start with a model with an extra down type quark D of electric charge $Q_q = Q_D = -1/3$ ($b = 1/2$) which in turn implies $Q_l = 0$, that is, l_L is a neutral new lepton N^0_{1L}. Eq.(1) then implies $X_q = X_d = 1/3$, $X_u = -2/3$ which combined with the anomaly constraint equations and Eq.(2) implies $X_\chi = 0$, $X_\psi = -1/3$, $\sum_{singl} X_{ls} = 0$ and $X_1 + X_2 = 1/3$. By demanding for leptons of electric charges ± 1 and 0 only, we have for the simplest solution that $X_1 = -1/3$, $X_2 = 2/3$ and $X_{ls} = 0$, with this last constraint implying that we do not need right-handed charged leptons in our simplest anomaly-free model.

Putting all this together we end up with the following multiplet structure for this model:

$\chi_L = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \\ D \end{pmatrix}_L$	u^c_L	d^c_L	D^c_L
$(3, 3, 0)$	$(\bar{3}, 1, -\frac{2}{3})$	$(\bar{3}, 1, \frac{1}{3})$	$(\bar{3}, 1, \frac{1}{3})$

$\psi_L = \begin{pmatrix} e^- \\ \nu_e \\ N^0_1 \end{pmatrix}_L$	$\psi_{1L} = \begin{pmatrix} E^- \\ N^0_2 \\ N^0_3 \end{pmatrix}_L$	$\psi_{2L} = \begin{pmatrix} N^0_4 \\ E^+ \\ e^+ \end{pmatrix}_L$
$(1, \bar{3}, -\frac{1}{3})$	$(1, \bar{3}, -\frac{1}{3})$	$(1, \bar{3}, \frac{2}{3})$

where the numbers inside the parenthesis reffers to $(SU(3)_c, SU(3)_L, U(1)_X)$ quantum numbers. This anomaly-free structure is the simplest one we can construct for a single family in $SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_X$. As a matter of fact, the 27 states above are just the 27 states in the fundamental representation of the unifying group E_6 [7]. That is, the model presented here is such that $SU(3)_c \otimes SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_X \subset E_6$ [8].

For this model we start with an extra up type quark U of electric charge $Q_q = Q_U = 2/3(b = -1/2)$ which in turn implies $Q_l = -1$, that is, l_L is now an exotic electron E^-. Following the same steps as for model A we end up with the following multiplet structure:

$\chi_L = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \\ U \end{pmatrix}_L$	u_L^c	d_L^c	U_L^c
$(3, 3, 1/3)$	$(\bar{3}, 1, -\frac{2}{3})$	$(\bar{3}, 1, \frac{1}{3})$	$(\bar{3}, 1, -\frac{2}{3})$

$\psi_L = \begin{pmatrix} e^- \\ \nu_e \\ E_1^- \end{pmatrix}_L$	$\psi_{1L} = \begin{pmatrix} N_1^0 \\ E_2^+ \\ \nu^c \end{pmatrix}_L$	$\psi_{2L} = \begin{pmatrix} E_2^- \\ N_2^0 \\ E_3^- \end{pmatrix}_L$	e^+	E_1^+	E_3^+
$(1, \bar{3}, -\frac{2}{3})$	$(1, \bar{3}, \frac{1}{3})$	$(1, \bar{3}, -\frac{2}{3})$	$(1, 1, 1)$	$(1, 1, 1)$	$(1, 1, 1)$

A simple check shows us that this multiplet structure is anomaly free as it should.

3. Other Models?

Following the same steps as for the two previous cases, we attempt to construct models where q_L has electric charges $-2/3$ and $1/3$. Eq.(2) then implies that $Q_l = 1/3$ and $-2/3$ respectively which correspond to leptons with exotic electric charges. Not only fractionally charged free particles has not been detected at low energies, but the phenomenology of those models could become tremendously confusing with leptons with electric charges equal to the antiquarks.

In a similar way by asking for a model with $Q_l = 1$ will imply according to Eq.(2) that $Q_q = -4/3$, a model with a quark with an exotic electric charge that we do not wish (models with quarks with exotic electric charges are presented in Ref. [5] for example).

B. Family models

For these models each individual family possesses nonvanishing anomalies and the anomaly cancellation takes place between families and, for some models, only with a matching of the number of families with the number of quark colors, does the overall anomaly vanish [5,6]. It is also a feature of this type of models that the third family is treated different to the other two, or either that the three families are treated independently.

An algebraic manipulation of Eqs.(1) and (2) and the anomaly constraint equations, allows us to combine the fermion multiplets of the two models **A** and **B** to produce the following models:

1. Model C

All the left-handed lepton generations belong to the representation $(1, \bar{3}, -2/3)$ of $(SU(3)_c, SU(3)_L, U(1)_X)$, that is:

$\psi^\alpha_L = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^- \\ \nu_\alpha \\ E^-_\alpha \end{pmatrix}_L$	α^+_α	E^+_α
$(1, \bar{3}, -2/3)$	$(1, 1, 1)$	$(1, 1, 1)$

for $\alpha = e, \mu, \tau$; while quarks transform as follows:

$\chi^a_L = \begin{pmatrix} u^a \\ d^a \\ U^a \end{pmatrix}_L$	u^{ac}_L	d^{ac}_L	U^{ac}_L
$(3, 3, 1/3)$	$(\bar{3}, 1, -\frac{2}{3})$	$(\bar{3}, 1, \frac{1}{3})$	$(\bar{3}, 1, -\frac{2}{3})$

for $a = 1, 2$ the first two families, and for the third family we have:

$\chi_{3L} = \begin{pmatrix} d_3 \\ u_3 \\ D \end{pmatrix}_L$	u^c_{3L}	d^c_{3L}	D^c_L
$(3, \bar{3}, 0)$	$(\bar{3}, 1, -\frac{2}{3})$	$(\bar{3}, 1, \frac{1}{3})$	$(\bar{3}, 1, \frac{1}{3})$

.

The arithmetic shows that all the anomalies vanishes for this model. As far as we know, this model has not been discussed in the literature yet.

2. Model D

In a similar way we get the following multiplet structure:

$\chi^a_L = \begin{pmatrix} d_a \\ u_a \\ D_a \end{pmatrix}_L$	u^c_{aL}	d^c_{aL}	D^c_{aL}
$(3, \bar{3}, 0)$	$(\bar{3}, 1, -\frac{2}{3})$	$(\bar{3}, 1, \frac{1}{3})$	$(\bar{3}, 1, \frac{1}{3})$

for $a = 1, 2$, the quarks in the first two families. For the quarks in the third family we have:

$\chi^3_L = \begin{pmatrix} u_3 \\ d_3 \\ U \end{pmatrix}_L$	u^c_{3L}	d^c_{3L}	U^c_L
$(3, 3, \frac{1}{3})$	$(\bar{3}, 1, -\frac{2}{3})$	$(\bar{3}, 1, \frac{1}{3})$	$(\bar{3}, 1, -\frac{2}{3})$

.

The three lepton generations transform now as triplets of $SU(3)_L$ as follows:

$\psi^\alpha_L = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_\alpha \\ \alpha^- \\ N^0_\alpha \end{pmatrix}_L$	α^+_L
$(1, 3, -\frac{1}{3})$	$(1, 1, 1)$

,

for $\alpha = e, \mu, \tau$ the three families. This model has been largely studied in the literature (see Refs. [6]).

Contrary to the one family models, we can now play the game of cancelling the anomalies in several different ways.

We start by defining the following closed set of fermions (closed in the sense that they include the antiparticles of the charged particles):
$S_1 = [(\alpha^-, \nu_\alpha, E^-_\alpha); \alpha^+; E^+_\alpha]$ with quantum numbers $(1, \bar{3}, -2/3); (1, 1, 1)$ and $(1, 1, 1)$ respectively.
$S_2 = [(\nu_\alpha, \alpha^-, N^0_\alpha); \alpha^+]$ with quantum numbers $(1, 3, -1/3)$ and $(1, 1, 1)$ respectively.
$S_3 = [(u, d, U); u^c; d^c; U^c]$ with quantum numbers $(3, 3, , 1/3); (\bar{3}, 1, -2/3); (\bar{3}, 1, 1/3)$ and $(\bar{3}, 1, -2/3)$ respectively.
$S_4 = [(d, u, D); d^c; u^c; D^c]$ with quantum numbers $(3, \bar{3}, 0); (\bar{3}, 1, 1/3); (\bar{3}, 1, -2/3)$ and $(\bar{3}, 1, 1/3)$ respectively.
$S_5 = [(e^-, \nu_e, N^0_1); (E^-, N^0_2, N^0_3);\ (N^0_4, E^+, e^+)]$ with quantum numbers $(1, \bar{3}, -1/3)$; $(1, \bar{3}, -1/3)$ and $(1, \bar{3}, 2/3)$ respectively.
$S_6 = [(e^-, \nu_e, E^-); (N^0_1, E^+_2, N^0_2); (E^-_2, N^0_3, E^-_3); e^+, E^+_1; E^+_3]$ with quantum numbers $(1, \bar{3}, -2/3); (1, \bar{3}, 1/3); (1, \bar{3}, -2/3); (1, 1, 1); (1, 1, 1)$ and $(1, 1, 1)$ respectively.

Now we calculate the four anomalies for each set of particles. The results are presented in Table I.

TABLE I. Anomalies for S_i.

Anomalies	S_1	S_2	S_3	S_4	S_5	S_6
$[SU(3)_c]^2U(1)_X$	0	0	0	0	0	0
$[SU(3)_L]^2U(1)_X$	$-2/3$	$-1/3$	1	0	0	-1
$[grav]^2U(1)_X$	0	0	0	0	0	0
$[U(1)_X]^3$	10/9	8/9	$-12/9$	$-6/9$	6/9	12/9

Notice from Table I that model **C** is represented by $(3S_1{+}2S_3{+}S_4)$ and model **D** by $(3S_2{+}S_2{+}2S_4)$, but what is most remarkable is that we can now construct new anomaly free models for two, three, four and more families. For example we can construct the following two, three family models:
Model E: $S_1 + S_2 + S_3 + S_4$ plus Model **A**
Model F $S_1 + S_2 + S_3 + S_4$ plus Model **B**

The main feature of this last two models is that, contrary to models **C** and **D**, each one of the three families is treated in a different way. As far as we know, this two models have not been studied in the literature so far.

III. THE SCALAR SECTOR

Even though the representation content for fermions may vary significantly from model to model, all such $SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_X$ models have the same gauge boson sector and we may impose them to have the same Higgs scalar sector. Since our aim is to break the symmetry in the way:

$$SU(3)_c \otimes SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_X \longrightarrow SU(3)_c \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y \longrightarrow SU(3)_c \otimes U(1)_Q$$

and at the same time give masses to the fermion fields, we introduce the following minimal set of Higgs scalars:
$\phi_1 = (1, \bar{3}, -1/3)$ with Vacuum expectation value (VEV) $\langle\phi_1\rangle^T = (0, 0, V)$; $\phi_2(1, \bar{3}, -1/3)$ with VEV $\langle\phi_2\rangle^T = (0, v/\sqrt{2}, 0)$, and $\phi_3(1, \bar{3}, 2/3)$ with VEV $\langle\phi_3\rangle^T = (v'/\sqrt{2}, 0, 0)$, with the hierarchy $V > v \sim v' \sim 250$ GeV, the electroweak breaking scale. The scale of V can be fixed phenomenologically.

At first glance it looks like only two Higgs triplets are necessary for the symmetry breaking, but as can be seen, they are not enough to reproduce a realistic fermion mass spectrum in any of the models.

IV. THE GAUGE BOSON SECTOR

There are a total of 17 gauge bosons in the gauge group under consideration; they are: one gauge field B^μ associated with $U(1)_X$, the 8 gluon fields associated with $SU(3)_c$ which remain massless, and another 8 associated with $SU(3)_L$ and that we write for convenience in the following way:

$$\frac{1}{2}\lambda_\alpha A^\mu_\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} D^\mu_1 & W^{+\mu} & K^{+\mu} \\ W^{-\mu} & D^\mu_2 & K^{0\mu} \\ K^{-\mu} & \bar{K}^{0\mu} & D^\mu_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $D^\mu_1 = A^\mu_3/\sqrt{2} + A^\mu_8/\sqrt{6}$, $D^\mu_2 = -A^\mu_3/\sqrt{2} + A^\mu_8/\sqrt{6}$, and $D^\mu_3 = -2A^\mu_8/\sqrt{6}$. λ_i, $i = 1, 2, ..., 8$ are the eight Gell-Mann matrices normalized as $Tr(\lambda_i\lambda_j) = 2\delta_{ij}$, which allows us to write the charge operator as

$$Q = \frac{\lambda_3}{2} + \frac{\lambda_8}{2\sqrt{3}} + XI_3$$

where I_3 is the 3×3 unit matrix.

After breaking the symmetry with $\langle\phi_i\rangle$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, and using for the covariant derivative for triplets $D^\mu = \partial^\mu - i\frac{g}{2}\lambda_\alpha A^\mu_\alpha - ig'XB^\mu$, we get the following mass terms for the charged gauge bosons on the electroweak sector: $M^2_{W^\pm} = \frac{g^2}{4}(v^2 + v'^2)$, $M^2_{K^\pm} = \frac{g^2}{4}(2V^2 + v'^2)$, $M^2_{K^0(\bar{K}^0)} = \frac{g^2}{4}(2V^2 + v^2)$. For the neutral gauge bosons we get a mass term of the form:

$$M = V^2\left(\frac{g'B^\mu}{3} - \frac{gA^\mu_8}{\sqrt{3}}\right)^2 + \frac{v^2}{8}\left(\frac{2g'B^\mu}{3} - gA^\mu_3 + \frac{gA^\mu_8}{\sqrt{3}}\right)^2 + \frac{v'^2}{8}\left(gA^\mu_3 - \frac{4g'B^\mu}{3} + \frac{gA^\mu_8}{\sqrt{3}}\right)^2$$

By diagonalizing M we get the physical neutral gauge bosons which are defined through the mixing angle θ and Z_μ, Z'_μ by:

$$\begin{aligned} Z^\mu_1 &= Z_\mu\cos\theta + Z'_\mu\sin\theta \\ Z^\mu_2 &= -Z_\mu\sin\theta + Z'_\mu\cos\theta \\ -\tan(2\theta) &= \frac{\sqrt{12}C_W(1-T^2_W/3)^{1/2}[v'^2(1+T^2_W) - v^2(1-T^2_W)]}{3(1-T^2_W/3)(v^2+v'^2) - C^2_W[8V^2 + v^2(1-T^2_W)^2 + v'^2(1+T^2_W)^2]} \end{aligned}$$

Where the photon field A^μ, Z_μ and Z'_μ are given by

$$A^\mu = S_W A^\mu_3 + C_W\left[\frac{T_W}{\sqrt{3}}A^\mu_8 + (1-T^2_W/3)^{1/2}B^\mu\right] \quad (3)$$

$$Z^\mu = C_W A^\mu_3 - S_W\left[\frac{T_W}{\sqrt{3}}A^\mu_8 + (1-T^2_W/3)^{1/2}B^\mu\right] \quad (4)$$

$$Z'^\mu = -(1-T^2_W/3)^{1/2}A^\mu_8 + \frac{T_W}{\sqrt{3}}B^\mu \quad (5)$$

where S_W and C_W are the sine and cosine of the electroweak mixing angle respectively ($T_W = S_W/C_W$) defined by $S_W = \sqrt{3}g'/\sqrt{3g^2+4g'^2}$. Also we can identify the Y hypercharge associated with the SM gauge boson as:

$$Y^\mu = \left[\frac{T_W}{\sqrt{3}} A_8^\mu + (1 - T_W^2/3)^{1/2} B^\mu\right].$$

In the limit $\theta \longrightarrow 0$, $M_Z = M_{W^\pm}/C_W$, and $Z_1^\mu = Z^\mu$ is the gauge boson of the SM. This limit is obtained either by demanding $V \longrightarrow \infty$ or $v'^2 = v^2(C_W^2 - S_W^2) \equiv v^2 C_{2W}$. In general θ may be different from zero although it takes a very small value, determined from phenomenology for each particular model.

A. Currents

The fermionic currents are remarkably different for each model and also they are different from those of the SM. As an example let us present the analysis for model **A**; a similar analysis for model **D** is presented in the papers by H.N.Long in Ref. [6].

1. Charged currents

The interactions among the charged vector fields with leptons for model **A** are

$$\begin{aligned} H^{CC} = \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} [& W_\mu^+ (\bar{u}_L \gamma^\mu d_L - \bar{\nu}_{eL} \gamma^\mu e_L^- - \bar{N}_{2L}^0 \gamma^\mu E_L^- - \bar{E}_L^+ \gamma^\mu N_{4L}^0) \\ & + K_\mu^+ (\bar{u}_L \gamma^\mu D_L - \bar{N}_{1L}^0 \gamma^\mu e_L^- - \bar{N}_{3L}^0 \gamma^\mu E_L^- - \bar{e}_L^+ \gamma^\mu N_{4L}^0) \\ & + K_\mu^0 (\bar{d}_L \gamma^\mu D_L - \bar{N}_{1L}^0 \gamma^\mu \nu_{eL} - \bar{N}_{3L}^0 \gamma^\mu N_{2L}^0 - \bar{e}_L^+ \gamma^\mu E_L^+)] + h.c., \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

which implies that the interactions with $K^\pm$ and $K^0(\bar{K}^0)$ bosons violate the lepton number and the weak isospin. Notice also that the first two terms in the previous expression constitute the charged weak current of the SM as far as we identify $W^\pm$ as the $SU(2)_L$ charged left-handed weak bosons.

2. Neutral currents

The neutral currents $J_\mu(EM)$, $J_\mu(Z)$ and $J_\mu(Z')$, associated with the Hamiltonian $H^0 = eA^\mu J_\mu(EM) + \frac{g}{C_W} Z^\mu J_\mu(Z) + \frac{g'}{\sqrt{3}} Z'^\mu J_\mu(Z')$ are:

$$\begin{aligned} J_\mu(EM) &= \frac{2}{3}\bar{u}\gamma_\mu u - \frac{1}{3}\bar{d}\gamma_\mu d - \frac{1}{3}\bar{D}\gamma_\mu D - \bar{e}^- \gamma_\mu e^- - \bar{E}^- \gamma_\mu E^- = \sum_f q_f \bar{f}\gamma_\mu f \\ J_\mu(Z) &= J_{\mu,L}(Z) - S_W^2 J_\mu(EM) \\ J_\mu(Z') &= T_W J_\mu(EM) - J_{\mu,L}(Z') \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where $e = gS_W = g' C_W \sqrt{1 - T_W^2/3} > 0$ is the electric charge, q_f is the electric charge of the fermion f in units of e, $J_\mu(EM)$ is the electromagnetic current (vectorlike as it should be), and the left-handed currents are

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\mu,L}(Z) &= \frac{1}{2}(\bar{u}_L \gamma_\mu u_L - \bar{d}_L \gamma_\mu d_L + \bar{\nu}_{eL} \gamma_\mu \nu_{eL} - \bar{e}_L^- \gamma_\mu e_L^- + \bar{N}_2^0 \gamma_\mu N_2^0 - \bar{E}^- \gamma_\mu E^-) \\ &= \sum_f T_{3f} \bar{f}_L \gamma_\mu f_L \\ J_{\mu,L}(Z') &= S_{2W}^{-1} (\bar{u}_L \gamma_\mu u - \bar{e}_L^- \gamma_\mu e_L^- - \bar{E}_L^- \gamma_\mu E_L^- - \bar{N}_{4L}^0 \gamma_\mu N_{4L}^0) \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{2W}^{-1}(\bar{d}_L\gamma_\mu d_L - \bar{E}_L^+\gamma_\mu E_L^+ - \bar{\nu}_{eL}\gamma_\mu\nu_{eL} - \bar{N}_{2L}^0\gamma_\mu N_{2L}^0) \\
& -T_W^{-1}(\bar{D}_L\gamma_\mu D_L - \bar{e}_L^+\gamma_\mu e_L^+ - \bar{N}_{1L}^0\gamma_\mu N_{1L}^0 - \bar{N}_{3L}^0\gamma_\mu N_{3L}^0) \\
= & \sum_f T_{9f}\bar{f}_L\gamma_\mu f_L
\end{aligned} \tag{8}
$$

where $S_{2W} = 2S_W C_W$, $T_{2W} = S_{2W}/C_{2W}$, $\bar{N}_2^0\gamma_\mu N_2^0 = \bar{N}_{2L}^0\gamma_\mu N_{2L}^0 + \bar{N}_{2R}^0\gamma_\mu N_{2R}^0 = \bar{N}_{2L}^0\gamma_\mu N_{2L}^0 - \bar{N}_{2L}^{0c}\gamma_\mu N_{2L}^{0c} = \bar{N}_{2L}^0\gamma_\mu N_{2L}^0 - \bar{N}_{4L}^0\gamma_\mu N_{4L}^0$, similarly $\bar{E}\gamma_\mu E = \bar{E}_L^-\gamma_\mu E_L^- - \bar{E}_L^+\gamma_\mu E_L^+$. In this way $T_{3f} = Dg.(1/2, -1/2, 0)$ is the third component of the weak isospin acting on the representation 3 of $SU(3)_L$ (the negative when acting on $\bar{3}$), and $T_{9f} = Dg.(S_{2W}^{-1}, T_{2W}^{-1}, -T_W^{-1})$ is a convenient 3×3 diagonal matrix acting on the representation 3 of $SU(3)_L$ (the negative when acting on $\bar{3}$). Notice that $J_\mu(Z)$ is just the generalization of the neutral current present in the SM, which allows us to identify Z_μ as the neutral gauge boson of the SM.

The couplings of the physical states Z_1^μ and Z_2^μ are then given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{NC} &= \frac{g}{2C_W}\sum_{i=1}^{2} Z_i^\mu \sum_f \{\bar{f}\gamma_\mu[a_{iL}(f)(1-\gamma_5) + a_{iR}(f)(1+\gamma_5)]f\} \\
&= \frac{g}{2C_W}\sum_{i=1}^{2} Z_i^\mu \sum_f \{\bar{f}\gamma_\mu[g(f)_{iV} - g(f)_{iA}\gamma_5]f\}
\end{aligned} \tag{9}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{1L}(f) &= \cos\theta(T_{3f} - q_f S_W^2) + \frac{g'\sin\theta C_W}{g\sqrt{3}}(T_{9f} - q_f T_W) \\
a_{1R}(f) &= -q_f S_W(\cos\theta S_W + \frac{g'\sin\theta}{g\sqrt{3}}) \\
a_{2L}(f) &= \sin\theta(T_{3f} - q_f S_W^2) - \frac{g'\cos\theta C_W}{g\sqrt{3}}(T_{9f} - q_f T_W) \\
a_{2R}(f) &= -q_f S_W(\sin\theta S_W - \frac{g'\cos\theta}{g\sqrt{3}}) \\
g(f)_{iV} &= a(f)_{iL} + a(f)_{iR} \\
g(f)_{iA} &= a(f)_{iL} - a(f)_{iR},
\end{aligned} \tag{10}
$$

so, when the algebra gets done we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(f)_{1V} &= \cos\theta(T_{3f} - 2S_W^2 q_f) + \frac{g'\sin\theta}{g\sqrt{3}}(T_{9f}C_W - 2q_f S_W) \\
g(f)_{2V} &= -\sin\theta(T_{3f} - 2S_W^2 q_f) + \frac{g'\cos\theta}{g\sqrt{3}}(T_{9f}C_W - 2q_f S_W) \\
g(f)_{1A} &= \cos\theta T_{3f} + \frac{g'\sin\theta}{g\sqrt{3}}T_{9f}C_W \\
g(f)_{2A} &= -\sin\theta T_{3f} + \frac{g'\cos\theta}{g\sqrt{3}}T_{9f}C_W,
\end{aligned} \tag{11}
$$

to be compared with the SM values $g(f)_{1V}^{SM} = T_{3f} - 2S_W q_f$ and $g(f)_{1A}^{SM} = T_{3f}$. The values of $g_{iV},\ g_{iA};\ \ i = 1, 2$ are listed in Tables II and III. As we can see, in the limit $\theta = 0$ the couplings of Z_1^μ to the ordinary leptons and quarks are the same as in the SM. Because of this, we can test the new phenomenology beyond the SM.

TABLE II. The $Z_1^\mu \longrightarrow \bar{f}f$ couplings.

f	g_{1V}	g_{1A}
u	$(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{4S_W^2}{3})[\cos\theta-\sin\theta/(4C_W^2-1)^{1/2}]$	$\frac{\cos\theta}{2}-\sin\theta/[2(4C_w^2-1)^{1/2}]$
d	$\cos\theta(-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2S_W^2}{3})-\frac{\sin\theta}{(4C_W^2-1)^{1/2}}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{S_W^2}{3})$	$-\frac{1}{2}\{\cos\theta+\sin\theta C_{2W}/[2(4C_W^2-1)^{1/2}]\}$
D	$\frac{2S_W^2\cos\theta}{3}+\sin\theta(1-\frac{5}{3}S_W^2)/(4C_W^2-1)^{1/2}$	$C_W^2\sin\theta/(4C_W^2-1)^{1/2}$
e^-	$\cos\theta(-\frac{1}{2}+2S_W^2)+\frac{3\sin\theta}{(4C_W^2-1)^{1/2}}(\frac{1}{2}-S_W^2)$	$-\frac{\cos\theta}{2}+\frac{\sin\theta}{(4C_W^2-1)^{1/2}}(\frac{1}{2}-C_W^2)$
E^-	$\cos\theta(-1+2S_W^2)-\frac{S_W^2\sin\theta}{(4C_W^2-1)^{1/2}}$	$C_W^2\sin\theta/(4C_W^2-1)^{1/2}$
$\nu_e,\ N_2^0$	$\frac{1}{2}[\cos\theta+\sin\theta(1-2S_W^2)/(4C_W^2-1)^{1/2}]$	$\frac{1}{2}(\cos\theta+\sin\theta(1-2S_W^2)/(4C_W^2-1)^{1/2}$
$N_1^0,\ N_3^0$	$-C_W^2\sin\theta/(4C_W^2-1)^{1/2}$	$-C_W^2\sin\theta/(4C_W^2-1)^{1/2}$
N_4^0	$-\frac{1}{2}[\cos\theta-\sin\theta/(4C_W^2-1)^{1/2}]$	$-\frac{1}{2}[\cos\theta-\sin\theta/(4C_W^2-1)^{1/2}]$

TABLE III. The $Z_2^\mu \longrightarrow \bar{f}f$ couplings.

f	g_{2V}	g_{2A}
u	$(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{4S_W^2}{3})[-\sin\theta-\cos\theta/(4C_W^2-1)^{1/2}]$	$\frac{-\sin\theta}{2}-\cos\theta/[2(4C_w^2-1)^{1/2}]$
d	$-\sin\theta(-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2S_W^2}{3})-\frac{\cos\theta}{(4C_W^2-1)^{1/2}}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{S_W^2}{3})$	$-\frac{1}{2}\{-\sin\theta+\cos\theta C_{2W}/[2(4C_W^2-1)^{1/2}]\}$
D	$\frac{-2S_W^2\sin\theta}{3}+\cos\theta(1-\frac{5}{3}S_W^2)/(4C_W^2-1)^{1/2}$	$C_W^2\cos\theta/(4C_W^2-1)^{1/2}$
e^-	$-\sin\theta(-\frac{1}{2}+2S_W^2)+\frac{3\cos\theta}{(4C_W^2-1)^{1/2}}(\frac{1}{2}-S_W^2)$	$\frac{\sin\theta}{2}+\frac{\cos\theta}{(4C_W^2-1)^{1/2}}(\frac{1}{2}-C_W^2)$
E^-	$-\sin\theta(-1+2S_W^2)-\frac{S_W^2\cos\theta}{(4C_W^2-1)^{1/2}}$	$C_W^2\cos\theta/(4C_W^2-1)^{1/2}$
$\nu_e,\ N_2^0$	$\frac{1}{2}[-\sin\theta+\cos\theta(1-2S_W^2)/(4C_W^2-1)^{1/2}]$	$\frac{1}{2}(-\sin\theta+\cos\theta(1-2S_W^2)/(4C_W^2-1)^{1/2}$
$N_1^0,\ N_3^0$	$-C_W^2\cos\theta/(4C_W^2-1)^{1/2}$	$-C_W^2\cos\theta/(4C_W^2-1)^{1/2}$
N_4^0	$\frac{1}{2}[\sin\theta+\cos\theta/(4C_W^2-1)^{1/2}]$	$\frac{1}{2}[\sin\theta+\cos\theta/(4C_W^2-1)^{1/2}]$

V. MASSES FOR FERMIONS

Again this subject is model dependent. Just for the sake of completness let us write the Yukawa lagrangian that the Higgs scalars in section 3 produces for the fermion fields in model **A** [8]:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_Y &= \mathcal{L}_Y^Q + \mathcal{L}_Y^l \\
\mathcal{L}_Y^Q &= \chi_L^T C(h_u\phi_3 u_L^c + h_D\phi_1 D_L^c + h_d\phi_2 d_L^c + h_{dD}\phi_2 D_L^c + h_{Dd}\phi_1 d_L^c) + h.c. \qquad (12)\\
\mathcal{L}_Y^l &= \epsilon_{abc}[\psi_L^a C(h_1\psi_{1L}^b\phi_3^c + h_2\psi_{2L}^b\phi_1^c + h_3\psi_{2L}^b\phi_2^c) + \psi_{1L}^a C(h_4\psi_{2L}^b\phi_1^c + h_5\psi_{2L}^b\phi_2^c)] \\
&\quad + h.c. \qquad (13)
\end{aligned}$$

where $h_\eta,\ \eta = u, d, D, dD, Dd, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ are Yukawa couplings of order one, a, b, c are $SU(3)_L$ tensor indices and C is the charge conjugation operator.

Using the VEV in section 3 and assuming that we are refering to the third family, we see that $m_t = h_u v'/\sqrt{2}$, $m_D \sim h_b V$ but it mixes with the d quark producing a kind of see-saw mechanism [9] that implies $m_b << m_t$. Also for leptons we have $m_E \sim h_4 V$ but again it mixes with the τ producing also a kind of see saw mechanism which implies that $m_\tau \sim m_b << m_t$. The neutral sector is more complicated, but the analysis [8] shows that the eigenvalues of the 5×5 mass matrix are two

$\pm h_1 v'/\sqrt{2}$ which correspond to a Dirac neutrino, other two are $\pm V + \eta$ where η is a small see-saw quotient which correspond to a pseudo-Dirac neutrino, and a tiny mass Majorana neutrino.

So the Higgs fields and VEV used, break the symmetry in the appropriate way and produce a realistic pattern of masses for the fermion fields (at least for one of the families).

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have studied the theory of $SU(3)c \otimes SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_X$ in detail. By restricting the fermion field representations to particles without exotic electric charges we end up with six different models, two one family models and four models for three families. The two one family models are sketched in the papers by K.T.Mahanthapa y P.K.Mohapatra in Ref. [4], but enough attention was not paid to the anomaly cancellation constraints in their analysis. The four three family models, as far as we know, are all new in the literature, but model **D**, which has been studied in great detail in Ref. [6].

If we allow for particles with exotic electric charges in our analysis, we end up with an infinite number of models, where the model in Refs. [5] is just one of them (probably the most elegant one!).

Exact agreement with the weak neutral current sector of the SM is achieved in all these models for a zero mixing between the two neutral currents in $SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_X$. Detailed analysis of flavor changing neutral currents, GIM mechanism, mass scales of the new gauge bosons, etc., are model dependent and they will be presented elsewhere.

Finally let us mention that the most remarkable result of our analysis is the existence of models **E** and **F**, where the three families are treated different. In these models it should be simple to implement the horizontal survival hypothesis [10], that is, to provide masses at tree level only for the particles in the third family, as done for example in the previous section, with the known particles in the first and second families getting masses as radiative corrections.

VII. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was partially supported by BID and Colciencias in Colombia. We thank C. Garcia Canal for a critical reading of the original manuscript. WAP acknowledges warm hospitality from the Theoretical Physics Laboratory at the Universidad de la Plata in Argentina, where this work was completed.

---

[1] S.L.Glashow, Nucl. Phys. **22**, 579 (1961); S.Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **19**, 1264 (1967); A.Salam, in *Proceedings of the VIII Nobel Symposium*, edited by N.Svartholm (Almqvist and Wiksells, Stockholm, 1968), p.367

[2] For a review see: W.Marciano and H. Pagels, Phys. Rep. **36C(3)**, 137 (1978).

[3] For an exhaustive bibliography on previous $SU(3) \otimes U(1)$ or $SU(3)$ models up to 1974, see C.H.Albright, C, Jarlskog and M. Tjia, Nucl. Phys. **B86**, 535 (1974).

[4] P.Fayet, Nucl. Phys. **B78**, 14 (1974); H.Fritzsch and P. Minkowski, Phys. Lett. **63B**, 99 (1976); F.Gürsey and P.Sikivie, Phys. Rev. Lett. **36**, 775 (1976); P. Ramond, Nucl. Phys. **B110**, 214 (1976); M.Yoshimura, Prog. Theor. Phys. **57**, 237 (1977); G.Segrè and J.Weyers, Phys. Lett. **B65**, 243 (1976); B.W.Lee and S.Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **38**,1237 (1977); P.Langacker and G. Segrè, Phys. Rev. Lett. **39**, 259 (1977); D.Horn and G.G.Ross, Phys. Lett. **69B**, 364 (1977); R.M.Barnett and L.N.Chang, Phys. Lett. **72B**, 233 (1977); M.Singer, Phys. Rev. **D19**, 296 (1979); K.T. Mahanthapa and P.K. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. **D42**, 1732 (1990); *ibid*, **D42**, 2400 (1990);**D43**, 3093 (1991).

[5] F.Pisano and V. Pleitez, Phys. Rev. **D46**, 410 (1992); P.H.Frampton, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 2887 (1992); J.C. Montero, F. Pizano and V. Pleitez, Phys. Rev. **D47**, 2918 (1993);R.Foot, O.F. Hernandez, F.Pisano and V. Pleitez, Phys. Rev. **D47**, 4158 (1993); V. Pleitez and M.D. Tonasse, Phys. Rev. **D48**, 2353 (1993); *ibid* 5274 (1993); D.Ng, Phys. Rev. **D49**, 4805 (1994); L.Epele, H.Fanchiotti, C.Garcia Canal and D. Gomez Dumm, Phys. Lett. **B343** 291 (1995); M.Ozer, Phys. Rev.**D54**, 4561 (1996); D.Gomez Dumm, Phys. Lett. **B411**,313 (1997).

[6] M.Singer, J.W.F.Valle and J.Schechter, Phys. Rev. **D22**, 738 (1980); R.Foot, H.N.Long and T.A.Tran, Phys. Rev. **D50**, R34 (1994); H.N. Long, Phys. Rev. **D53**, 437 (1996); *ibid* **D54**, 4691 (1996); V. Pleitez, Phys. Rev. **D53**, 514 (1996).

[7] F.Gürsey, P.Ramond, and P.Sikivie, Phys. Lett. **B60**, 177 (1975); F.Gürsey and M. Serdaroglu, Lett. Nuo. Cim. **21**, 28 (1978).

[8] William A. Ponce, R. Martinez and L.A.Sanchez, "$SU(3)_c \otimes SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_X$ as an E_6 subgroup", U. de A. preprint (2001A), submitted for publication.

[9] S.Rajpoot, Phys. Lett. **B191**, 122 (1987); Phys. Rev. **D36**, 1479 (1987); A.Davidson and K.C. Wali, Phys. Rev. Lett. **59**, 393 (1987); **60**, 1813 (1988).

[10] R.Barbieri and D.V.Nanopoulos, Phys. Lett. **B91**, 369 (1980); **B95**, 43 (1980).